

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,311	02/18/2004	Jeremy Lent	NEXTP005C1	7626	
21912	7590 11/16/2006	•	EXAMINER		
VAN PELT, YI & JAMES LLP			MILEF, ELDA G		
10050 N. FOOTHILL BLVD #200 CUPERTINO, CA 95014		N.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3692		
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/16/200	DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/782,311	LENT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Elda Milef	3692			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no even!, however, may a reply be tind  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	February 2004.	•			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 18 February 2004 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objectented or by accepted or by accepted in abeyance. Serection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3692

#### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 9/12/2005 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because a legible copy of foreign patent documents and non-patent literature documents were not received, please refer to the IDS form (1449). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a). Also, see MPEP § 609.04(a) II Legible Copies.

## Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims

Art Unit: 3692

are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5, 7, 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,313. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims speak to methods and systems for integrating live chat into an online credit card application and the sub-claims supporting the online application mechanics.
- 4. Regarding claim 1, the language with this claim can be found within claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,313 B1.
- 5. Regarding claim 2, the language with this claim can be found within claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,313 Bl.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,311 Page 4

Art Unit: 3692

6. Regarding claim 3, the language with this claim can be found within claim 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,313 B1.

- 7. Regarding claim 4, the language with this claim can be found within claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,313 B1.
- 8. Regarding claim 5, the language with this claim can be found within claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,313 B1.
- 9. Regarding claim 6, the language with this claim can be found within claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,313 B1.
- 10. Regarding claim 7, the language with this claim can be found within claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,313 B1.
- 11. Regarding claim 8, the language with this claim can be found within claim 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,313 B1.

## Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elda Milef whose telephone number is (571)272-8124. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:30 am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571)272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,311

Art Unit: 3692

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Elda Milef Examiner Art Unit 3692

\*\*\*

RICHARD E. CHILCOT, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER